PARASITES AND PARASITE COMMUNITIES OF VIMBA VIMBA (LINNAEUS, 1758) FROM THE DANUBE RIVER, NORTHWESTERN BULGARIA
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Abstract

In 2020, 37 specimens of vimba bream (Vimba vimba Linnaeus, 1758) from the Danube River near the village of Kudelin were examined for parasites. Four parasite species were established: Nicolla skrjabini (Iwanitzky, 1928) and Posthodiplostomum cuticola (von Nordmann, 1832) (Trematoda); Pomphorhynchus laevis (Zoega in Müller, 1776) (Acanthocephala) and Philometra rischta Skrjabin, 1917 (Nematoda). The study aims to provide new data on parasites and parasite communities of Vimba vimba from the Danube River’s freshwater ecosystem near the village of Kudelin in northwestern Bulgaria. In the study, the prevalence (P%), mean intensity (MI), mean abundance (MA) and the Brillouin’s diversity index (HB) were presented and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The Danube River is one of the largest rivers in Europe, with a length of 2,857 km (Ilie et al., 2017). The river passes through many countries, while for others, the river only forms its borders (Pantelica et al., 2012). The Danube River is the border between the Republic of Bulgaria and the Republic of Romania in 470 km - a sector characterized by a great fish diversity (Zarev et al., 2013). The fish are hosts of various parasite species (Amer, 2014). Not only the ichthyofauna of the Danube River but also the parasite fauna of different fish species from the Bulgarian section of the river is a subject of research by several authors (Chunchukova & Kirin, 2017; Chunchukova et al., 2017; Chunchukova & Kirin, 2018; Chunchukova et al., 2018; Chunchukova & Kirin, 2020; Chunchukova et al., 2020; Zaharieva & Kirin, 2020a; 2020b; Zaharieva & Zaharieva, 2020a; 2020b; 2020c; 2020d). Research on parasites of Vimba vimba (Linnaeus, 1758) from the Danube River and its basin was conducted by a few authors (Diaconescu et al., 2010; Djikanović et al., 2012). The present study aims to provide new data on parasites and parasite communities of vimba bream from the Danube River, Kudelin village, northwestern Bulgaria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In 2020, 37 specimens of Vimba vimba (Linnaeus, 1758) from the upper current of the Bulgarian section of the Danube River in the Kudelin village’s vicinities were caught and studied. The village of Kudelin (44°11′30″N, 22°40′5″E) is located in the Vidin Lowland, in the North-Western part of Bulgaria. The village is situated in the immediate proximity of the borders between three countries - Bulgaria, Romania and Serbia (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Danube River, Kudelin village, Bulgaria (www.icpdr.org)
Using fishing gear specified in a fishing permit were caught the fish. The permit was issued by the Executive Agency of Fisheries and Aquaculture (EAFA). According to Karapetkova & Zhivkov (2006) were identified the caught fish. The weight (G), as well as the maximum length (L) and maximum height (H) of the body of the studied specimens V. vimba, were recorded (Table 1).

Table 1. Maximum body length, height and weight (L, H and G) of Vimba vimba from the Danube River (Kudelin village)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vimba vimba (N = 37)</th>
<th>Min. - max.</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L (cm)</td>
<td>15.5-33</td>
<td>20.68 ± 5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (cm)</td>
<td>3.3-8.5</td>
<td>4.87 ± 1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (g)</td>
<td>32-486</td>
<td>111.24 ± 116.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 37 collected specimens of vimba bream were tested for parasites according to methods described by Petrochenko (1956); Zashev & Margaritov (1966); Kakacheva-Avramova (1983); Bauer (Ed.) (1987); Moravec (2013). Permanent and temporary microscopic slides were prepared, according to Zashev & Margaritov (1966); Georgiev et al. (1986), and Moravec (2013), to identify the parasite species. In this study, the prevalence (P%); mean intensity (MI); mean abundance (MA) and Brillouin’s diversity index (HB) were calculated and presented (Magurran, 1988; Bush et al., 1997).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The subject of this parasitological research were 37 specimens of vimba bream (Vimba vimba Linnaeus, 1758). The fish were caught in 2020 from the Danube River near Kudelin, located in the northwestern part of Bulgaria. Vimba vimba is a freshwater, brackish, benthopelagic fish from the Cyprinidae family (Karapetkova & Zhivkov, 2006; Froese & Pauly, 2020).

Helminth community structure
Parasitological examination of vimba bream V. vimba from the Danube River (Kudelin) revealed the presence of four species of parasites: two parasite species of class Trematoda: Nicolla skrjabini (Iwanitzky, 1928) and Posthodiplostomum cuticola (von Nordmann, 1832); one parasite species of class Acanthocephala: Pomphorhynchus laevis (Zoega in Müller, 1776) and one parasite species of class Nematoda: Philometra rischta (Skrjabin, 1917) (Table 2).

Table 2. Parasite species diversity of Vimba vimba from the Danube River, Kudelin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite species</th>
<th>Vimba vimba, Danube River, Kudelin, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nicolla skrjabini (Iwanitzky, 1928)</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posthodiplostomum cuticola (von Nordmann, 1832), metacercaria</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomphorhynchus laevis (Zoega in Müller, 1776)</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philometra rischta (Skrjabin, 1917)</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Component community
The component community of Vimba vimba from the Danube River, Kudelin, northwestern Bulgaria, was studied. The trematodes were present at the highest number (2 species with > 1,205 specimens), followed by the acanthocephalans (1 species with 99 specimens). The nematodes had the smallest number of specimens (1 species with four specimens). In the component community of
*V. vimba* from the Danube River, Kudelin, *Posthodiplostomum cuticola*, and *Pomphorhynchus laevis* were core parasite species with a prevalence (P%) respectively P% = 32.43 and P% = 29.73. *Philometra rischta* (P% = 8.11) and *Nicolla skrjabini* (P% = 5.41) were accidental parasite species in the parasite community of vimba bream. The highest mean intensity (MI) and the highest mean abundance (MA) were found for the parasite *P. cuticola* (MI = 100.00; MA = 32.43) (Table 3).

Table 3. Main ecological terms of parasite and parasite communities of *Vimba vimba* from the Danube River, Kudelin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite species</th>
<th>Kudelin N = 37</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nicolla skrjabini</strong> (Iwanitzky, 1928)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Posthodiplostomum cuticola</strong> (von Nordmann, 1832), metacercaria</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pomphorhynchus laevis</strong> (Zoega in Müller, 1776)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Philometra rischta</strong> Skrabin, 1917</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N - number of investigated fish, n - number of infected fish, p - number of fish parasites, MI - mean intensity, MA - mean abundance, P% - prevalence.

**Infracommunity**

Of the thirty-seven specimens of vimba bream subjected to parasitological examination, it was found that 13 specimens of *V. vimba* or 35.14% were not infected; 20 specimens of *V. vimba* or 54.05% were infected with one parasite species, and four specimens of *V. vimba* or 10.81% were infected with two parasite species (Figure 2; Table 4).

The study showed that in the parasite infracommmunity of vimba bream, the number of detected parasites ranged from 1 to > 100. The subject of research was more than 1,308 specimens of parasites. Brillouin’s diversity index is low (Table 4).

Table 4. Infracommunity of *Vimba vimba* from the Danube River, Kudelin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of specimens <em>Vimba vimba</em></th>
<th>Number of parasite species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of species (Mean number of species ± SD)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of specimens (Mean number of specimens ± SD)</td>
<td>&gt; 1,308 (8.84 ± 14.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brillouin’s diversity index (HB)</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Few authors have carried out studies on parasites of *Vimba vimba* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the Danube River and rivers in the Danube basin. For the Bulgarian section of the river, the species *N. skrjabini* has been reported for the region of the city of Vidin (Koshava village; Novo selo village; Vetren village, etc.). *V. vimba* is a new host record for *N. skrjabini*. Kudelin is a new locality of the Danube Water Basin for the parasite species. *N. skrjabini* has been reported for other species of freshwater fish (Margaritov, 1959; Kakacheva-Avramova, 1977; Kakacheva et al., 1978; Atanasov, 2012; Kirin et al., 2013; Zaharieva & Kirin, 2020a). *P. cisticola* was reported for *Alburnus alburnus* near the villages of Kudelin and Novo Sel (Zaharieva & Kirin, 2020a). The species *P. laevis* was known for the Bulgarian section of the Danube River of the host *V. vimba*, from the region of Vidin, but is not reported for Kudelin (Kakacheva-Avramova, 1977; Kakacheva et al., 1978), and also as a parasite of *Alburnus alburnus* and *Chondrostoma nasus* from Kudelin (Zaharieva & Kirin, 2020a; 2020b). The nematode *Ph. rischta* has not been reported for the parasite fauna of *V. vimba* in Bulgaria. The species is reported for the first time for the Bulgarian section of the Danube River. Zaharieva & Zaharieva (2020c; 2020d) found 6 and 5 parasite species of *Abramis brama* from the Danube River (Kudelin), including *N. skrjabini*, *P. cisticola* and *P. laevis*. Nedeva et al. (2003) reported *P. laevis* in the Danube River in the Republic of Serbia and the Bulgarian sector of the river (the villages Archar, Botevo, Gomotartsi), including on host *V. vimba*. Leimgruber et al. (2005) reported *P. laevis* in the Austrian section of the Danube River. The species was announced in the Danube River’s Czech Republic and Slovakia sections (Moravec, 2001). Diaconescu et al. (2010) studied 11 fish species for parasites from the Danube River Delta in Romania. The authors found the trematode *Posthodiplostomum cuticola* on *V. vimba*, etc.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In 2020, 37 specimens of *Vimba vimba* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the Bulgarian section of the Danube River close to the village of Kudelin were caught and subjected to ecoparasitological studies. Twenty four specimens of *V. vimba* were infected with four parasite species – the trematodes *N. skrjabini* and *P. cisticola*, the acanthocephalans *P. laevis* and the nematodes *Ph. rischta*. Two species of parasites *P. cisticola* (P% = 32.43) and *P. laevis* (P% = 29.73) were core parasite species in the component community of *V. vimba*. The Brillouin’s diversity index was low (HB = 0.308) due to the presence of only four species and the apparent dominance of one species with a very high number (*P. cisticola*). *V. vimba* is a new host for *N. skrjabini*, *P. cisticola*, *Ph. rischta* in Bulgaria. *Ph. rischta* is reported for the first time for the Bulgarian section of the Danube River. The Danube River, Kudelin village, is a new habitat for *Ph. rischta* as parasites of *V. vimba* from this study.
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