

## FEEDING VALUE OF LOCAL PHYTO-ADDITIVES, POTENTIAL INGREDIENTS IN POULTRY DIETS

**Tatiana Dumitra PANAITE, Rodica Diana CRISTE, Margareta OLTEANU,  
Arabela Elena UNTEA, Mariana ROPOTA, Iulia VARZARU, Alexandra LUPU**

National Research-Development Institute for Animal Biology and Nutrition (IBNA),  
1 Calea Bucuresti, Balotesti, 077015, Ilfov, Romania

Corresponding author email: tatiana\_panaite@yahoo.com

### **Abstract**

*Four plants have been characterized physico-chemical as vegetal phytoadditives (blueberry leaves, walnut leaves, marigold and buckthorn meal) in order to determine their nutritional value for inclusion in laying hens diets as possible alternatives to the use of antibiotics in poultry feed. Chemical determinations revealed a variable protein content ranging between 6.76% (blueberry leaves) and 14.14% (buckthorn meal). Marigolds had significantly higher iron content (1262.54 ppm) and blueberry leaves showed high concentrations of manganese (1410.10 ppm) and zinc (40.37 ppm). The walnut leaves were characterized by high concentrations of: calcium (2.01%), lutein + zeaxanthin (264.10 ppm), total polyphenols (53.94 mg EAG/g) and polyunsaturated fatty acids, especially  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid (13.45 g/100 g total fatty acids). The studied phytoadditives will be evaluated in a digestibility trial for their nutritional assessment in laying hens diets.*

**Key words:** phytoadditives, plants, nutritional value, hens.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The ban on antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry diets made the nutritionists look for alternatives such as probiotics, organic acids, oligosaccharides, botanic materials or plant extracts, which will probably change the microbial profile of the gut content of hens reared under intensive conditions (Yegani and Korver, 2008). Phytoadditives are among the most recent alternatives to antibiotics used as growth promoters for farm animals, having a beneficial effect on production (Windisch et al., 2008; Kroismayer et al., 2008) and animal health (Athanasidou et al., 2007; Peric et al., 2010), improving the intestinal microflora (Mitsch et al., 2004), enhancing nutrient digestibility and changing the morphology of the digestive secretion (Kreydiyyeh et al., 2003; Jamroz et al., 2003). There are many plants rich in important nutrients, which seem to have a highly positive effect on animal health and productivity, even on the human health. The walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) contains important amounts of phenol compounds (Labuckas et al., 2008). The highest content of phenols, the strongest is the antioxidant activity. Their active principles support the

bactericide, bacteriostatic, astringent, slightly hypotensive, hypoglycemic, calming, cicatrizing, emollient, antitoxic, antimutagenic, antiperspirant, antiemetic and antirheumatic activities. The blueberry (leaves and fruits) contains a wide variety of antioxidant substances (Dulebohn et al., 2008; Castrejón et al., 2008; Piljac-Zegarac et al., 2009) which help preventing the cardiovascular disorders and protect against cancer (Smith et al., 2004; Seeram, 2008; Neto, 2007) and against cerebral vascular accidents (Wang et al., 2005), also preventing of urinary tract infections (Jepson and Craig, 2007). More recently, strong oxygen radical absorbance capacity (Ehlenfeldt and Prior, 2001), hypotensive effects (Sakaida et al., 2007), hypolipidemic effects (Nagao et al., 2008) and antileukemic activity (Skupień et al., 2006) of the leaves have been reported. However, the detail of the chemical constituents of the leaves has not yet been clarified. Many reports have suggested that blueberry leaves are rich in polyphenol compounds with high antioxidant activity (Wang et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2017). The polyphenols of blueberry leaves are mainly composed of proanthocyanidins, followed by caffeoylquinic acids and flavonolglycosides,

especially the oligomeric proanthocyanidins, contribute to the biological activities of the blueberry leaves (Matsuo et al., 2010). According to the literature (Biswas et al., 2010; Kaushal and Sharma, 2011), the leaves, seeds and residues of buckthorn fruits are suitable as animal feeds because they are rich in nutrients (Panaite et al., 2016) and bioactive compounds (Lee et al., 2011) such as vitamins (Luhua et al., 2004; Ranjith et al., 2006), amino acids (Repyakh et al., 1990), lipids (Bekker and Giuschenkova, 1997), sugars (Yang, 2009) and flavonoids (Hakkinen et al., 1999). Some studies have also shown the presence of antioxidants (Püssa et al., 2007; Geetha et al., 2009). They are rich in carotenoids, xanthophyll, phenols and flavonoids and a high content of essential oils (Yang et al., 2000; Singh et al., 2006). The feeding of buckthorn, in different forms (leaves, seeds or buckthorn fruits residues) produced a significant body weight increase of the animals (Hu and Guo, 2006; Biswas et al., 2010).

The use of 0.2% buckthorn flavonoid in broiler diets improved the intestinal absorption of the proteins, lipids, Ca and P. Broiler performance was also improved, as shown by larger eviscerated carcasses, less abdominal fat (Michel et al., 2012).

The marigolds contain flavonoids, carotenoids, vitamin C, etheric oils, bitter substances, triterpene saponins, resins and mucilage.

They are a natural resource of xanthophyll for broiler diets. In broilers, zeaxanthin influences the yellow value in all tissues, particularly in the abdominal fat, lutein and zeaxanthin being stored in the skin and adipose tissues in a proportion of 8-12% and 4-9%, respectively (Hamelin and Altemueller, 2012). Furthermore, the carotenoids are essential to the immune system, have antioxidant effects and as cannot be synthesized by the birds, they have to be supplied in the diets (Breithaupt, 2007; Jung et al., 2012). The marigold extract (lutein) is a xanthophyll with strong antioxidant capacity, frequently used in layer diets (Koutsos et al., 2006).

Starting from the premises that the above-mentioned plants can be seen as Phytoadditives with positive effects on animal health and productivity, the purpose of the feeding trial was to evaluate the feeding properties of the

plants in a digestibility trial for their nutritional assessment in laying hens diets.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our study characterised physically and chemically four plant phytoadditives (marigold flowers, blueberry leaves, walnut leaves and buckthorn meal) as possible alternatives to antibiotics in poultry feeding. The marigold flowers, blueberry leaves and walnut leaves were purchased from a company specialised in processing medicinal plants. The buckthorn meal was purchased from a company producing edible cold pressed oils. The samples were ground in a laboratory mill (Grindomix – GM 200) for 3 minutes, at 6500 rotations/min, homogenized and dried in a drying cabinet for 48 hours ( $T = 65^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and 24 hours ( $T = 103^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). We used standardized analytical methods, according to Regulation (CE) no. 152/2009 (Sampling and analytical methods for the official inspection of feeds) and ISO standards.

### *Determination of the gross chemical composition of the plants*

The dry matter (DM) was determined according to ISO standard 6496/2001 using the gravimetric method, by drying at  $65-103^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Sartorius analytical scale and BMT model ECOCELL BlueLine Comfort); crude protein (CP) was determined according to ISO standard 5983-2/2009, using the Kjeldahl method (semiautomatic KJELTEC auto 1030 – Tecator); ether extractives (EE) was determined according to ISO standard 6492/2001 by extraction in organic solvents (SOXTEC-2055 FOSS – Tecator); crude fibre (ISO 6865/2002) was determined by intermediary filtration (FIBERTEC 2010–Tecator) and the ash (ISO 2171/2010) was determined using the gravimetric method (Caloris furnace CL 1206). By calculation, we determined the organic matter (formula 1) and the nitrogen-free extractives (formula 2).

$$\text{OM (\%)} = \text{DM}_{\text{real}} (\%) - \text{Ash (\%)} \quad (\text{formula 1})$$

$$\text{NFE (\%)} = \text{OM (\%)} - (\text{CP} + \text{EE} + \text{CF}) \quad (\text{formula 2})$$

Where: OM = organic matter;  $\text{DM}_{\text{real}}$  = real dry matter; Ash = ash; NFE = nitrogen-free extractives; CP = crude protein; EE = ether extractives; CF = crude fibre (formula 2)

### ***Determination of the amino acids***

Amino acids from samples were determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), using a method optimised and validated by Varzaru et al. (2013), and HPLC system Finnigan Surveyor Plus, HyperSil BDS C18 column, size 250 × 4.6 mm, 5µm (Thermo-Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA).

### ***Determination of the minerals***

Plant samples of 0.4 g each were processed as described previously (Untea et al., 2012) and analyzed for Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn concentrations applying flame atomic absorption spectrometry (atomic absorption spectrometer Solaar M6 Dual Zeeman Comfort (Thermo Electron Ltd., Cambridge, UK) after the microwave digestion (Speedwave MWS-2 Comfort, Berghof, Eningen, Germany). The phosphorus content was determined by UV-Vis spectrophotometry (UV-Vis spectrophotometer Jasco V530 Tokyo, Japan).

### ***Determination of the fatty acids in the plants***

The fatty acids were determined by gas chromatography, as shown by Panaite et al., (2016), by transformation in methyl esters of the fatty acids from the sample, followed by the separation of the components in the chromatographic column, identification by comparison with standard chromatograms and quantitative determination of the fatty acids according to SR CEN ISO/TS 17764 -2: 2008.

### ***Determination of the polyphenol concentration***

The total phenol content of plants extracts was measured spectrophotometrically according to the Folin-Ciocalteu's method, as described by (Conrad et al., 2001) with slight modifications. The absorbance was measured at 732 nm and the results were reported as mg gallic acid equivalents per 100 mL of sample (mg GAE/mL).

### ***Determination of the total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC) by phosphomolybdenum method***

The total antioxidant capacity of the plant extracts was evaluated by the method of Prieto et al. (2010). The antioxidant activity was expressed for the samples as ascorbic acid equivalents.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

Table 1 shows the basic chemical composition of the phytoadditives. The crude protein ranged between 6.76% CP (blueberry leaves) and 14.14% CP (buckthorn meal). Also the blueberry leaves had the highest concentration of fibre (33.66% CF), while the buckthorn meal had a high content of fat (15.38% EE) and the lowest proportion of calcium (0.06%), manganese (19.60%) and zinc (27.76 %).

Table 1 data shows that the buckthorn meal is rich in protein and fat. The buckthorn meal protein content was lower than the values reported by (Kaushal and Sharma, 2011) who reported values of 27.7% to 33.2% for crude protein, of 15.0% to 21.9% for crude fibre, and of 2.7% to 3.6% for the ash. Sharma (2010) reported 90.06% dry matter (DM); 26.00% crude protein (CP); 4.50% ether extractives (EE); 14.00% crude fibre (CF); 2.50% ash; 53.0% NDF; 0.75% calcium (Ca); 1.25% phosphorus (P) and 2906 kcal/kg metabolisable energy (ME) in the buckthorn meal. Similar values for the buckthorn meal chemical composition were also reported by (Fanatico et al., 2005; Fanatico et al., 2006).

Compared to the buckthorn meal (0.06%), the marigold flowers (0.49%) and blueberry leaves (0.50), the concentration of calcium in the walnut leaves (2.01%) was much higher, similar to the findings of other studies (Ercisli et al., 2005). The marigold flowers had the highest concentration of copper (12.16%) and iron (1262.54 mg), while the blueberry leaves had the highest concentration of manganese (1410.10 mg) and zinc (40.37 mg). The iron concentration in the blueberry leaves (62.86 mg) was lowest of all studied plants. Nevertheless, this value is in agreement with the data reported by Criste et al., (2013) in an inter-laboratory study in Romania, in which participated seven laboratories, the results ranging between 61.43 to 100.86 mg/kg. It is well known that the iron efficiency uptake in wild plants depends on the Fe source, which is different from most greenhouse experiments (Criste et al., 2013). The concentration of lutein-zeaxanthin in the analysed plant material was highest in the walnut leaves and buckthorn meal, followed by the blueberry leaves. Forty-one different carotenoids have been reported in

various cultivars of sea buckthorn berries, the major types being zeaxanthin, cryptoxanthin, and carotene (Pintea et al., 2005). On the other hand, Andersson et al. (2009) showed that the concentration of xanthophyll in the white buckthorn fruits varies with the geographical area. The lowest concentration of lutein and zeaxanthin was determined in the marigold flowers (11.221ppm). As it is known, lutein has a yellow-orange color, and it has been used for many years in poultry diets as a mean to pigment egg yolks. The content of polyphenol (Table 1), both in the walnut leaves(53.94 mg EAG/g) and in the blueberry leaves(52.82 mg EAG/g) shows that both of them are rich in polyphenol.

Walnut leaves constitute a good source of phenolic compounds, suggesting that it could be useful in the prevention of diseases in which free radicals are implicated. The walnut leaves also are a rich source of polyphenol, where flavonols are major compounds, varying between 54.8% and 62.9% of total phenolics (Pereira, et al., 2007). Bilberries are rich sources of various phenolic compounds and carotenoids (Zotatti et al; 2016).

In the other study, Hokkanen et al. (2009) detected several bioactive compounds in bilberry leaves, such as flavan-3-ols, isomers of cinchonain, proanthocyanidins and coumaroyliridoids. On the other hand, bilberry leaf aqueous extracts are useful as antibacterials and against inflammation, especially inflammation of the oral cavity (Wang et al., 2000).

Table 2 shows that the amino acids (essential – lysine, valine and isoleucine, and nonessential – glutamic acid, arginine and tyrosine) content of the buckthorn meal was higher than the concentration of amino acids determined in the walnut leaves, marigold flowers and blueberry leaves. Close values for lysine (0.780%) in the buckthorn meal, were also determined in the walnut leaves (0.688%), which also had the highest concentration of methionine (0.433%) and cystine (0.133%).

The poultry cannot synthesize essential amino acids, which is why these amino acids have to be included in poultry diets for body proteins synthesis, supporting thus the growth and development of the body mass (Mehri et al., 2012; Kheiri and Alibeyghi, 2017).

Table 1. Chemical composition of the plant materials

| Item  | Marigold leaves | Blueberry leaves | Walnut leaves | Buckthorn meal |
|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| <b>Basic chemical composition, (%)</b>  |                 |                  |               |                |
| SU  | 89.81           | 88.37            | 88.99         | 90.20          |
| SO  | 79.02           | 86.93            | 79.27         | 88.48          |
| PB  | 13.78           | 6.76             | 12.83         | 14.14          |
| EE  | 5.55            | 1.38             | 2.21          | 15.38          |
| CF  | 15.09           | 33.66            | 17.41         | 21.19          |
| NFE   | 44.6            | 45.13            | 46.82         | 37.77          |
| Ash   | 10.79           | 1.44             | 9.72          | 1.72           |
| <b>Minerals, (% or mg)</b>  |                 |                  |               |                |
| <i>Macrominerals, (%)</i>   |                 |                  |               |                |
| Ca  | 0.49            | 0.50             | 2.01          | 0.06           |
| P   | 0.28            | 0.19             | 0.30          | 0.30           |
| <i>Trace minerals, (mg)</i>   |                 |                  |               |                |
| Cu  | 12.16           | 6.95             | 7.11          | 9.02           |
| Fe  | 1262.54         | 62.87            | 366.54        | 405.35         |
| Mn  | 35.24           | 1410.10          | 159.31        | 19.60          |
| Zn  | 29.56           | 40.37            | 30.17         | 27.76          |
| <b>Xanthophyll, (ppm)</b>   |                 |                  |               |                |
| Lutein+zeaxanthin   | 11.221          | 70.591           | 264.096       | 168.757        |
| <b>Total polyphenols, (mgEAG/g)</b>   |                 |                  |               |                |
| Polyphenols   | 13.55           | 52.82            | 53.94         | 31.9           |
| Where: DM - dry matter; OM –organic matter; CP– crude protein; EE – ether extractives; CF – crude fibre; NFE – nitrogen-free extractives;; Ca - calcium; P – phosphorus; Cu – copper; Fe – iron; Mn – manganese; Zn – zinc; *Analyses performed by the Laboratory of Chemistry and Nutrition Physiology – IBNA Balotesti. |                 |                  |               |                |

In terms of arginine content, an  $\alpha$ -amino acid used for protein synthesis, the buckthorn meal had the highest concentration, 1.526 % arginine, from the total amount of protein. In poultry nutrition, arginine:lysine ratio is very important, influencing meat quality and the appearance or severity of muscle myopathy in broiler breast (Zampiga et al., 2018).

Table 2. Amino acid profile in the plant materials

| Item   | Marigold leaves | Blueberry leaves | Walnut leaves | Buckthorn meal |
|--|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| <b>Essential amino acids, (%)</b>  |                 |                  |               |                |
| Threonine  | 0.523           | 0.498            | 0.713         | 0.557          |
| Valine   | 0.811           | 0.385            | 0.724         | 0.760          |
| Phenylalanine  | 0.631           | 0.420            | 0.968         | 0.855          |
| Isoleucine   | 0.523           | 0.322            | 0.692         | 0.737          |
| Leucine  | 0.797           | 0.685            | 1.411         | 1.304          |
| Lysine   | 0.397           | 0.451            | 0.688         | 0.780          |
| Methionine   | 0.372           | 0.261            | 0.433         | 0.410          |
| <b>Nonessential amino acids, (%)</b>   |                 |                  |               |                |
| Ac. aspartic   | 2.461           | 0.970            | 1.643         | 1.732          |
| Ac. glutamic   | 2.397           | 1.148            | 2.156         | 2.918          |
| Serine   | 0.706           | 0.511            | 0.920         | 0.889          |
| Glycine  | 0.572           | 0.601            | 0.883         | 0.584          |
| Arginine   | 0.555           | 0.359            | 0.831         | 1.526          |
| Alaina   | 0.624           | 0.447            | 0.933         | 0.775          |
| Tyrosine   | 0.163           | 0.156            | 0.430         | 0.465          |
| Cystine  | 0.112           | 0.082            | 0.133         | 0.122          |
| Total  | 11.643          | 7.296            | 13.559        | 14.415         |
| * Analyses performed by the Laboratory of Chemistry and Nutrition Physiology – IBNA Balotesti. |                 |                  |               |                |

The most important polyunsaturated fatty acid, the  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid, was determined in the

highest amount in the walnut leaves (13.45%), followed by the marigold flowers (5.54%) and blueberry leaves (5.47%). However, the blueberry leaves had the best omega6/omega3 ratio, of 1.22, followed by the walnut leaves, with 0.75, and marigold flowers, with 1.6. Walnuts contain about 10% linolenic acid which has been associated with reduced risk in several prospective studies possibly due to antithrombotic and antiarrhythmic effects of the linolenic acid (Dolecek, 1992; Ascherto et al., 1996).

The fatty acids concentration of the plant materials (Table 3), shows that the highest concentration of caproic acid (C6:0) was in the blueberry leaves (3.10%) and in the walnut leaves (3.94%), being absent in the buckthorn meal. Some studies show that the supplements of caproic acid (3g/kg feed) for broilers, decreased significantly the number of colony-forming units in the caecum of broilers, 3 days after the birds were challenged with *Salmonella Enteritidis* (Van Immerseel et al., 2004)

Table 3. Fatty acids profile of the plant materials

| Item                                     |           | Marigold leaves | Blueberry leaves | Walnut leaves | Buckthorn meal |
|--|-----------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Butyric                                  | C 4:0     | 0.00            | 0.58             | 0.72          | -              |
| Caproic                                  | C 6:0     | 1.76            | 3.10             | 3.94          | -              |
| Caprylic                                 | C 8:0     | 8.36            | 0.71             | 0.05          | -              |
| Nonanoic                                 | C 9:0     | 2.94            | -                | -             | -              |
| Capric                                   | C 10:0    | 4.13            | 3.96             | 0.08          | 0.04           |
| Undecanoic                               | C 11:0    | 0.48            | 0.00             | 0.08          | -              |
| Lauric                                   | C 12:0    | 3.56            | 0.31             | 0.00          | -              |
| Tridecanoic                              | C 13:0    | 0.16            | -                | -             | -              |
| Miristic                                 | C 14:0    | 19.14           | 8.48             | 0.73          | 0.41           |
| Miristoleic                              | C 14:1    | 0.63            | 1.07             | 0.00          | -              |
| Pentadecanoic                            | C 15:0    | 0.41            | 1.10             | 0.10          | 0.00           |
| Pentadecenoic                            | C 15:1    | 0.40            | 1.17             | 0.07          | 22.01          |
| Palmitic                                 | C 16:0    | 25.38           | 46.51            | 29.84         | -              |
| Palmitoleic                              | C 16:1    | 0.91            | 0.43             | 1.73          | 13.46          |
| Heptadecanoic                            | C 17:0    | 0.29            | 0.24             | 0.84          | 0.00           |
| Heptadecenoic                            | C 17:1    | 0.42            | 0.00             | 0.25          | 0.00           |
| Stearic                                  | C 18:0    | 3.95            | 3.84             | 11.29         | 1.91           |
| Oleic cis                                | C 18:1    | 6.02            | 9.02             | 22.09         | 36.85          |
| Linoleic cis                             | C 18:2n6  | 7.22            | 4.53             | 10.02         | 21.14          |
| Arachiic                                 | C 20:0    | 0.08            | 0.00             | 0.10          | -              |
| Eicosenoic                               | C20 (1n9) | 0.08            | 0.00             | 0.08          | -              |
| Linolenic $\alpha$                       | C 18:3n3  | 5.54            | 5.47             | 13.45         | 2.34           |
| Heneicosanoic                            | C 21:0    | -               | 0.14             | 0.00          | -              |
| Octadecatetraenoic                       | C18:4n3   | 0.55            | 1.22             | 0.85          | 1.36           |
| Eicosadienoic                            | C20(2n6)  | 0.00            | 0.00             | 0.15          | 0.50           |
| Behenic                                  | C 22:0    | 2.06            | 0.83             | 0.00          | -              |
| Eicosatrienoic                           | C20(3n6)  | 0.00            | -                | -             | -              |
| Erucic                                   | C22 (1n9) | 0.00            | -                | -             | -              |
| Eicosatrienoic                           | C20(3n3)  | 0.00            | -                | -             | -              |
| Arachidonic                              | C20(4n6)  | 0.14            | 0.18             | 0.00          | -              |
| Docosadienoic                            | C22(2n6)  | 0.45            | 1.62             | 0.75          | -              |
| Tricosanoic                              | C 23:0    | 0.00            | 1.04             | 0.00          | -              |
| Eicosapentaenoic                         | C20(5n3)  | 0.37            | 0.00             | 0.17          | -              |
| Lignoceric                               | C24:0     | 0.61            | 0.00             | 0.26          | -              |
| Nervonic                                 | C24 (1n9) | 0.00            | 1.79             | 0.97          | -              |
| Docosatetraenoic                         | C22(4n6)  | 2.54            | 1.81             | 0.00          | -              |
| Altiacizigrasi                           |           | 1.44            | 0.85             | 1.40          | -              |
| Total acizigrasi                         |           | 100             | 100              | 100           | 100            |
| <i>Clasele de acizigrasi din grasime</i> |           |                 |                  |               |                |
| SFA                                      |           | 73.31           | 70.84            | 48.01         | 24.37          |
| MUFA                                     |           | 8.45            | 13.48            | 25.20         | 50.30          |
| PUFA, din care:                          |           | 16.80           | 14.83            | 25.39         | 25.33          |
| $\Omega$ 3                               |           | 6.46            | 6.69             | 14.47         | 3.70           |
| $\Omega$ 6                               |           | 10.34           | 8.14             | 10.92         | 21.63          |
| $\Omega$ 6/ $\Omega$ 3                   |           | 1.60            | 1.22             | 0.75          | 5.85           |



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS/CCCDI – UEFISCDI, project number 8PCCDI/2018 Pc3, within PN-III-P1-1.2-PCCDI-2017

This study was funded by Romanian Ministry of Research and Innovation through Sub-program 1.2 - Institutional Performance, Program 1 – Developing national R & D, National Research and Development and Innovation Contract no.17 PFE/ 17.10.2018



## CONCLUSIONS

The data reported in this paper support the fact that the analysed plants can be seen as phytoadditives with positive effects on animal health and productivity, even on human health. Furthermore, it results that they are rich sources of nutrients and that they meet the nutritional requirements for use as ingredients in layer diets.

## REFERENCES

- Andersson, S.C., Olsson, M.E., Johansson, E., Rumpunen, K. (2009). Carotenoids in Sea Buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) Berries during Ripening and Use of Pheophytinase as a Maturity Marker, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 57, 250 – 258
- Ascherto, A., Rimm, E.B., Giovannucci, E.L., Spiegelman, D., Stampfer, M., Willett, W.C. (1996). Dietary fat and risk of coronary heart disease in men: Cohort follow up study in the United States. *Br Med J*, 313, 84 – 90.
- Athanasiadou, S., Githiori, J., Kyriazakis, I. (2007). Medicinal plants for helminth parasite control: facts and fiction. *Animal*, 1(9), 392 – 1400, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731107000730>
- Attia, Y.A. (2003). Performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality and plasma constituents of meat type drakes fed diets containing different levels of lysine with or without a microbial phytase. *Arch Anim Nutr.*, 66, 39 – 48.
- Bekker, N.P., Giushenkova, A.I. (1997). Neutral lipids of the bark of *Hippophae rhamnoides* branches. *Chemistry of Natural Compounds*, 29, 493
- Biswas, A., Bharti, V.K., Charya, S.A., Pawar, D.D., Singh, S.B. (2010). Sea buckthorn: new feed opportunity for poultry in cold arid Ladakh region of India. *World's Poultry Science of Journal*, 66(04), 707 – 714.
- Breithaupt, D.E., (2007). Modern application of xanthophylls in animal feeding – a review. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18(10), 501 - 506
- Castrejón, A.D.R., Eichholz, I., Rohn, S., Kroh, L.W., Huyskens-Keil, S., (2008). Phenolic profile and antioxidant activity of highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium corymbosum* L.) during fruit maturation and ripening. *Food Chem.*, 109, 564 – 572.
- Conrad, A., Mark R.B., Clive, D., Philip G.H., Philip T.M. (2001). Factors affecting the caffeine and polyphenol contents of black and green tea infusions. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49, 5340 – 5347.
- Criste, R.D., Untea, A.E., Olteanu, M., Radutoiu, D., Lacatusu, A., Vladescu, L. (2013). Heavy metals accumulation in some plants of spontaneous flora in correlation with soil composition. *Rev. Chim. (Bucharest)*, 64 (3), 225-232
- Dolecek, T.A., (1992) Epidemiological evidence of relationships between dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and mortality in the multiple risk factor intervention trial. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.*, 200, 177 –182.
- Dulebohn, R.V., Yi, W., Srivastava, A., Akoh, C.C., Krewer, G., Fischer, J.G. (2008). Effects of Blueberry (*Vaccinium ashei*) on DNA Damage, Lipid Peroxidation, and Phase II Enzyme Activities in Rats. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 56 (24), 11700–11706.
- Ehlenfeldt, M.K., Prior, R.L. (2001). Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) and phenolic and anthocyanin concentrations in fruit and leaf tissues of highbush blueberry, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 49, 2222 – 2227.
- Ercisli, S., Esitken, A., Turkkal, C., Orhan, E. (2005). The allelopathic effects of juglone and walnut leaf extracts on yield, growth, chemical and PNE compositions of strawberry cv. *Fern. Plant soil environ*, 51(6), 283 - 287.
- Fanatico, A.C., Cavitt, L.C., Pillai, P.B., Emmert, J.L., Owens, C.M. (2005). Evaluation of slower-growing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: meat quality. *Poultry Science*, 84, 1785 - 1790.
- Fanatico, A.C., Pillai, P.B., Cavitt, L.C., Emmert, J.L., Meullenet J.F., Owens, C.M. (2006). Evaluation of slowergrowing broiler genotypes grown with and without outdoor access: sensory attributes. *Poultry Science*, 85, 337 - 343.
- Feng, C.Y., Wang, W.W., Ye, J.F., Li, S.S., Wu, Q., Yin, D.D., Li, B., Xu, Y.J., Wang, L.S. (2017). Polyphenol profile and antioxidant activity of the fruit and leaf of *Vaccinium glaucoalbum* from the Tibetan Himalayas. *Food Chemistry*, 219, 490 - 495.
- Geetha, S., Sai Ram, M., Sharma, S.K., Ilavazhagan, G., Banerjee, P.K., Sawhney, R.C. (2009). Cytoprotective and antioxidant activity of seabuckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) flavones against tert-butyl hydroperoxideinduced cytotoxicity in lymphocytes. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 12,151 - 158.
- Häkkinen, S.H., Kärenlampi, S.O., Heinonen I.M., Mykkänen H.M., Törrönen, A.R. (1999). Content of the flavonols quercetin, myricetin, and kaempferol in

- 25 edible berries. *Journal Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 47, 2274 - 2279.
- Hamelin, C., Altemueller, U. (2012). The effect of carotenoids on yolk and skin pigmentation. [online]. *World Poultry*, <http://www.worldpoultry.net/Broilers/Nutrition/2012/8/The-effect-of-carotenoids-on-yolk-and-skin-pigmentation-WP010752W/>
- Hokkanen, J., Mattila, S., Jaakola, L., Pirttila, A.M., Tolonen, A. (2009). Identification of phenolic compounds from lingonberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.), bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) and hybrid bilberry (*Vaccinium x intermedium* Ruthe L.) leaves. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 57, 9437 – 9447.
- Hu, J.Z., Guo, X.F. (2006). Evaluation of nutrient value of seabuckthorn in North China. *Forestry studies in China*, 8, 50 - 52.
- Jamroz, D., Orda, J., Kamel, C., Wiliczekiewicz, A., Wartecki, T., Skorupińska, J. (2003). The influence of phytogetic extracts on performance, nutrient digestibility, carcass characteristics, and gut microbial status in broiler chickens. *Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences*, 12, 583 – 596
- Jepson, R.G., Craig, J.C. (2007). *A systematic review of the evidence for cranberries and blueberries in UTI prevention*. First published: 29 May 2007 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200600275>
- Jung, E.K., Clark, R.M., Park, Y., Lee, J., Fernandez, M.L. (2012). Lutein decreases oxidative stress and inflammation in liver and eyes of guinea pigs fed a hypercholesterolemic diet. *Nutrition Research Practice*, 6, 113-119.
- Kaushal, M., Sharma, P.C. (2011). Nutritional and antimicrobial property of sea buckthorn seed oil. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 1033 - 1036.
- Kheiri, F., Alibeyghi, M. (2017) Effect of different levels of lysine and threonine on carcass characteristics, intestinal microflora and growth performance of broiler chicks. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 16:4, 580-587.
- Koutsos, E.A., López, J.C.G., Klasing, K.C. (2006) Carotenoids from In Ovo or Dietary Sources Blunt Systemic Indices of the Inflammatory Response in Growing Chicks (*Gallus gallusdomesticus*). *The Journal of Nutrition*, 136(4), 1027–1031.
- Kreydiyyeh, S.I., Usta, J., Knio, K., Markossian, S., Dagher, S. (2003). Aniseed oil increases glucose absorption and reduces urine output in the rat. *Life sciences*, 74(5), 663 - 673.
- Labuckas, D.O., Maestri, D.M., Perello, M., Martinez, M.L., Lamarque, A.L. (2008). Phenolics from walnut (*Juglansregia* L.) kernels: Antioxidant activity and interactions with proteins. *Food Chemistry*, 107(2), 607 - 612.
- Lee, H.I., Kim, M.S., Lee, K.M., Park, S.K., Seo, K.II., Kim, H.J., Kim, M.J., Choi, M.S., Lee, M.K. (2011). Anti-visceral obesity and antioxidant effects of powdered sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) leaf tea in diet-induced obese mice. *Food Chem Toxicol.*, 49, 2370 - 2376
- Luhua, Z., Ying, T., Zhengu, Z., Guangji, W. (2004). Determination of alpha-tocopherol in the Traditional Chinese Medicinal preparation Sea buckthorn oil capsule by non- supplementation can alleviate negative effects of heat stress on egg production, egg quality, and digestibility of nutrients and egg yolk mineral concentrations of Japanese quails. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 73, 307 - 312.
- Matsuo, Y., Fujita, Y., Ohnishi, S., Tanaka, T., Hirabaru, H., Kai, T., Sakaida, H., Nishizono, S., Kouno, I. (2010). Chemical constituents of the leaves of rabbiteye blueberry (*Vaccinium ashei*) and characterisation of polymeric proanthocyanidins containing phenylpropanoid units and A-type linkages. *Food Chemistry*, 121(4), 1073 – 1079.
- Mehri, M., Nassiri, M., Kermanshahi, H.H., Danesh, M.M. (2012). Estimate and compare the requirements of digestible threonine at the grower period of broiler chickens. *Iran J Anim.Sci Res.*, 4, 17–24.
- Michel, T., Destandau, E., Le Floch, G., Lucchesi, M. E., Elfakir, C. (2012). Antimicrobial, antioxidant and phytochemical investigations of sea buckthorn (*Hippophaerhamnoides* L.) leaf, stem, root and seed. *Food Chem.*, 131, 754-760
- Mitsch, P., Zitterl-Eglseer, K., Köhler, B., Gabler, C., Losa, R., Zimpernik, I. (2004). The effect of two different blends of essential oil components on the proliferation of *Clostridium perfringens* in the intestines of broiler chickens. *Poultry science*, 83(4), 669 - 675.
- Nagao, K., Higa, K., Shirouchi, B., Nomura, S., Inoue, N., Inafuku, M., Yanagita, T. (2008) Effect of *Vaccinium ashei* reade leaves on lipid metabolism in Otsuka Long - Evans Tokushima Fatty rats. *Bioscience Biotechnology Biochemistry*, 72(6), 1619 - 1622.
- Neto, C.C. (2007). Cranberry and blueberry: Evidence for protective effects against cancer and vascular diseases. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research*, 51, 652 – 664.
- Panaite, T.D., Criste, R.D., Ropota, M., Criste, V., Vasile, G., Olteanu, M., Mitoi, M., Socoliuc, R., Vlaicu, Al. (2016). Determination of the feeding value of food industry by-products. *Scientific Papers-Animal Science Series: Lucrări Științifice – Seria Zootehnie*, 66 (21), 106 - 111
- Panaite, T.D., Criste, R.D., Ropota, M., Cornescu, G. M., Alexandrescu, D., Criste, V., Vasile, G., Olteanu, M., Untea, A. (2016). Effect of layer diets enriched in omega-3 fatty acids supplemented with Cu on the feeding value of the eggs, *Romanian Biotechnological Letters*, 21(4), 11754 - 11762
- Pereira, J.A., Oliveira, I., Sousa, A., Valentão, P., Andrade, P.B., Ferreira, I.C.F.R., Ferreres, F., Bento, A., Seabra, R., Estevinho, L. (2007b). Walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) leaves: phenolic compounds, antimicrobial activity and antioxidant potential of different cultivars. *Food Chem.Toxicol.*, 45, 2287 - 2295.
- Peric, L., Milosevic, N., Žikic, D., Bjedov, S., Cvetkovic, D., Markov, S., Mohln, M., Steiner, T. (2010). Effects of probiotic and phytogetic products on performance, gut morphology and cecal

- microflora of broiler chickens. *Archives Animal Breeding*, 53(3), 350 - 359.
- Piljac-Zegarac, J., Belsćak, A. and Piljac, A. (2009). Antioxidant Capacity and Polyphenolic Content of Blueberry (*Vacciniumcorymbosum* L.) Leaf Infusions; *J Med Food*, 12(3), 608 – 614.
- Prieto, A., Basauri, O., Rodil, R., Usobiaga, A., Fernández, L.A., Etxebarria, N., Zuloaga, O. (2010). Stir-bar sorptive extraction: a view on method optimisation, novel applications, limitations and potential solutions. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1217(16), 2642 - 2666.
- Püssa, T., Pällin, R., Raudsepp, P., Soidla, R., Rei, M. (2007). Inhibition of lipid oxidation and dynamics of polyphenol content in mechanically deboned meat supplemented with sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides* L.) berry residues. *Journal of Food Chemistry*, 107, 714 - 721.
- Ranjith, A., Sarin Kumar, K., Venugopalan, V.V., Arumughan, C., Sawhney, R.C., Singh, V. (2006). Fatty acids, tocals, and carotenoids in pulp oil of three sea buckthorn species (*Hippophae rhamnoides*, *H. salicifolia*, and *H. tibetana*) grown in the Indian himalayas. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 83, 359 - 364.
- Repyakh, S.M., Kargapol'tsev, A.P., Chuprova, N.A., Yushipitsina, G.G. (1990). Amino acid composition and biological value of proteins of the woody verdure of sea buckthorn. *Journal of Food Chemistry*, 26, 110 - 111.
- Sakaida, H., Nagao, K., Higa, K., Shirouchi, B., Inoue, N., Hidaka, F., Kai, T., Yanagita, T. (2007). Effect of *Vaccinium* leaves on angiotensin converting enzyme activity in vitro and on systolic blood pressure of spontaneously hypertensive rats in vivo. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 71, 2335 - 2337.
- Seeram, N.P. (2008). Berry Fruits for Cancer Prevention: Current Status and Future Prospects. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 630 - 635.
- Sharma, P.C. (2010). Evaluation of SBT leaves and cake as protein replacer for efficient broiler production.[online]. Available at <http://ir.inflibnet.ac.in:8080/jspui/bitstream/10603/10582/7/07>
- Singh, V., Yang, B., Kallio, H., Bala, M., Sawhney R. C., Gupta, R. K., Morsel, J. T., Lu, R. and Tolkachev O. N. (2006). Seabuckthorn (*Hippophaë* L.)-A Multipurpose Wonder Plant. Vol. II: *Biochemistry and Pharmacology* (Singh, V. Ed. in Chief, 2006), Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, 600p. [online] Available at <http://astralint.com/images/pdf/9789351242666.pdf>
- Skupień, K., Oszmiański, J., Kostrzewa-Nowak, D., Tarasiuk, J. (2006). In vitro antileukaemic activity of extracts from berry plant leaves against sensitive and multidrug resistant HL60 cells, *Cancer Letters*, 236, 282 - 291.
- Smith, S.H., Tate, P.L., Huang, G., Magee, J.B., Meepegala, K.M., Wedge, D.E., Larcom, L.L. (2004). Antimutagenic activity of berry extracts. *J Med Food*, 7, 450 - 455.
- Untea, A.E., Criste, R.D., Vladescu, L. (2012). Development and validation of a liver samples preparation method for FAAS trace elements content determination. *Revista de Chimie (Bucuresti)*, 63, 341 - 346.
- Van Immerseel, F., De Buck, J., Boyen, F., Bohez, L., Pasmans, F., Volf, J., Ducatelle, R. (2004). Medium-chain fatty acids decrease colonization and invasion through hflA suppression shortly after infection of chickens with *Salmonella entericasero* var. Enteritidis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 70(6), 3582 - 3587.
- Varzaru. I., Untea, A.E., Martura, T., Olteanu, M., Panaite, T.D., Schitea, M., Van, I. (2013). Development and validation of an RP-HPLC method for methionine, cystine and lysine separation and determination in corn samples. *Revista de Chimie Bucharest*, 64, 673 - 679
- Wang L.J., Wu J., Wang H.X., Li S.S., Zheng X.C., Du H., Xu Y.J., Wang L.S., (2015). Composition of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity in the leaves of blueberry cultivars. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 16, 295 – 304.
- Wang, Y., Chang, C.F., Chou, J., Chen, H.L., Deng, X., Harvey, B.K., Cadet, J.L., Bickford, P.C. (2005). Dietary supplementation with blueberries, spinach, or spirulina reduces ischemic brain damage. *Exp. Neurol*, 193, 75 - 84.
- Wang, S.Y., Lin, H.S. (2000).Antioxidant activity in fruits and leaves of blackberry, raspberry, and strawberry varieswith cultivar and developmental stage. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 48, 140–146.
- Windisch, W., Schedle, K., Plitzner, C., Kroismayer, A. (2008). Use of phytogetic products as feed additives for swine and poultry. *Journal of Animal Science*, 86, 140 - 148.
- Yang, B.R., Kalimo, K.O., Tahvonon, R.L., Mattila, L.M., Katajisto, J.K., Kallio, H.P. (2000). Effect of dietary supplementation with sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides*) seed and pulp oils on the fatty acid composition of skin glycerophospholipids of patients with atopic dermatitis. *Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, 11, 338 - 340.
- Yang, B. (2009). Sugars, acids, ethyl D-glucopyranose and a methyl inositol in sea buckthorn (*Hippophae rhamnoides*) berries. *Journal of Food Chemistry*, 112, 9 - 97
- Yegani, M., Korver, D.R. (2008). Factors affecting intestinal health in poultry. *Poultry science*, 87(10), 2052-2063.
- Zampiga, M., Laghi, L., Petracci, M., Zhu, C., Meluzzi, A., Dridi, S., Sirri, F. (2018). Effect of dietary arginine to lysine ratios on productive performance, meat quality, plasma and muscle metabolomics profile in fast-growing broiler chickens. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 9:79 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-018-0294-5>.
- Zoratti, L., Klemetilä, H., Jaakola, L. (2016). Bilberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus* L.) Ecotypes. *Nutritional Composition of Fruit Cultivars*, 83–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-408117-8.00004-0>.