

PRELIMINARY RESEARCH ON GROWTH RESPONSE AND HEALTH STATUS OF PIGLETS FED MILLET GRAIN AS A PARTIAL REPLACEMENT FOR TRITICALE

Nicoleta Aurelia LEFTER¹, Mihaela HĂBEANU^{1*}, Anca GHEORGHE¹,
Lavinia IDRICEANU¹, Mirela CIREBEA²

¹National Research Development Institute for Animal Biology and Nutrition Balotesti, 1 Calea Bucuresti, District Ilfov, Balotesti, Romania

²University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Culture, 3-5 Mănăştur Street, 400372, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

*Corresponding author email: mihaela.habeanu@ibna.ro

Abstract

A 21 days trial was conducted to evaluate the performance and plasma biochemical markers on 40 pigs (8.14 ± 1.08 kg of average body weight and 30 ± 3 days of age) when 25% of triticale was replaced with millet in weaned diets. The group C (n = 20) received a conventional diet based on corn-triticale-soybean meal and in the M group (n = 20), 25% millet cv. 'Marius' replaced triticale (2 replicates per group). At 7 days post-weaning, the performances and plasma profiles (lipid, protein, mineral, enzyme) were evaluated. The blood samples collected from the piglets jugular vein (n = 10) were determined by a chemistry analyser Spotchem EZ SP-4430, Arkray, Japan. The performances of piglets fed either M or C diet were comparable (P>0.05). No effect (P>0.05) of dietary treatment on plasma metabolites was observed. However, the inorganic phosphorus (IP) decreased (-15%; P<0.04) in the M vs. C diet. The present study revealed that the replacement of 25% millet to the piglet's diet, even in the most critical period of their lives, maintains the performances and blood plasma parameters within the normal reference ranges, beneficial to the health status.

Key words: health status, millet grain, performances, weaned piglet.

INTRODUCTION

The interest in converting cereals, the most important energetically sources for feeding pigs, into ethanol production is increasing, in an exponential manner (Popp et al., 2016). To combat this, a raise in demand for alternative nutritional sources, containing beneficial nutrients, that can provide opportunities for diversifying the feedstuff matrix, has been in demand (Habiyaemye et al., 2017). The condition is not to affect the health, productivity and the development of animals and their products.

Millet grains are now receiving specific attention in terms of nutritional and health benefits (Changmei and Dorothy, 2014; Singh and Chauhan, 2019). Agrotechnical characteristics and the resistance to storage pests during long periods of time (Habeanu et al., 2019; Yenagi et al., 2013; Dipnarayan et al., 2016), enhance its value (Davis et al., 2003;

Garcia and Dale, 2006; Saleh et al., 2013; Devi et al., 2014; Goron and Raizada, 2015). However, in Europe, millet varieties are cultivated in small amounts and usually used as feed for pets and less for piglets (Habiyaemye et al., 2017). Thus, millet are underutilized in many developed countries (Devi et al., 2014), including Romania. According to Singh and Chauhan (2019), and Habiyaemye et al., (2017), millet grain appear to have great nutritional potential as feed for piglets (Hăbeanu et al., 2019), particularly in the first week post-weaning, due to its richness in many vital nutrients (e.g. minerals, micronutrients, limiting amino acids, fiber, polyphenols) which offer several health benefits (Jones and Engleson, 2010). Moreover, millet, as they do not contain gluten, is easy to digest and hence is advisable for nourishment of humans and young animals (Habiyaemye et al., 2017).

In modern pig production, weaning is an abrupt process that induces distress for piglets due to

psychological, environmental or nutritional factors (Colson et al., 2012; Hăbeanu et al., 2015). Moreover, the literature data suggest that when the pigs' diet based of sow's milk is abruptly changed to a solid diet, especially in the first week after weaning, piglets suffer a nutritional stress (van Beers-Schreurs et al., 1998). Unfamiliarity with post-weaning feeding systems and diets contributed also, to inadequate protein and micronutrients intake, nutrient malabsorption and diarrhoea (Mavromichalis, 2014), with negative effects on productivity (Campbell, 2013). Therefore, beyond diet formulation for properly feeding the weaning piglets, it's also important to know how this category of animals responds to the composition of the diet. The evaluation of blood parameters provides important information about the function of certain tissues and organs (Luo et al., 2016) and, also effectiveness of dietary nutrients.

However, little is known about the interrelationships among dietary millet and concentration of certain plasma parameters as important indicators of health status.

In this context, the aim of study was to evaluate the effects of 25% dietary millet grain on performance and plasma metabolites during the first seven days post-weaning.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The trial approved by ethics committee (No. 1493/12.03.2018) was conducted at the experimental farm of the National Research-Development Institute for Biology and Animal Nutrition (INCDBNA Balotesti). The animals used in the present study were treated in accordance with the EU Directive 2010/63/EU (OJEU, 2010).

Animals and diets

The experimental trial was conducted during 21 days and the study reported herein, only included information on first 7 days post-weaning on 40 weaning piglets Topigs, 20 ♀ and 20 ♂ with an average initial body weight (BW) of 8.14 ± 1.08 kg. The animals were assigned to two groups (20 pigs/group, 2 replicates/group): i). the control group (C), fed with a diet based on corn-triticale-soybean meal; ii). the experimental group (M), fed a

compound feed similar to that of group C, where 25% millet replaces triticale. Both diets were isocaloric and isoenergetic with similar content in limiting amino acids (lysine, methionine + cysteine) and contained 0.01% phytase. The feed was given *ad libitum* in pelletized form. The intake was recorded daily. In order to determine the performances (body weight, BW; feed intake, FI; average daily gain, ADG) the piglets were weight after 7 days of experimental trial.

Chemical and biochemical analyses

The chemical analyses of the feed ingredients and feed compound, were measured using the SR EN ISO 17025:2005 standards, in the Laboratory of Chemistry and Nutrition Physiology of INCDBNA. The crude protein of the diet was determined by a semiautomatic classical Kjeldahl method using a Kjeltak auto 1030 - Tecator (SR 13325). The fat was extracted using an improved version of the classical method by continuous extraction in solvent, followed by fat measurement with Soxhlet after solvent removal (SR ISO 6492). The crude fibre was determined with a classical semiautomatic Fibertec-Tecator method (STAS 959715-77) and the ash by calcinations' at 550° until constant mass (SR ISO 5984). The nitrogen free extractives (NFE) were calculated using the formula: $NFE = DM - (CP + EE + GF + Ash)$, where: DM - dry matter; CP - crude protein; EE - ether extract; GF - gross fiber. The metabolisable energy (ME) was calculated with regression equations developed by the „Oskar Kellner” Institute of animal nutrition: $ME = 5.01 DP + 8.93 EE + 3.44 GF + 4.08 DNFE$, where: DP - digestible protein; EE - ether extract; GF - gross fiber; DNFE - digestible nitrogen free extractives.

After 7 days, blood samples from 10 pigs/group were collected from jugular vein. The plasma was obtained after the blood samples were centrifuged at $3000 \times g$ for 15 min. A chemistry analyser Spotchem EZ SP-4430, (Arkray, Japan) was used to determine the plasma metabolites (triglycerides, TG; total cholesterol, T-Chol; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C; total protein, T-Pro; albumin, Alb; uric acid, UA; creatinine, Cre; total bilirubin, T-Bil; urea nitrogen, BUN; calcium, Ca; magnesium; Mg, inorganic

phosphorus, IP; aspartate aminotransferase, AST; alanine aminotransferase, ALT; lactate dehydrogenase, LDH; creatine kinase, CK).

Statistical calculations

Data were analysed using the SPSS V.20 (2011) software, General Linear Model procedure. The results were given as average value \pm mean standard error. The effect was considered significant at $P < 0.05$ and as trend at $0.1 < P < 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Chemical composition

Results for chemical composition analyses of millet ‘Marius’ and triticale, are presented in Table 1.

Nutritionally, millet grain compares closely with other major cereal grains (wheat, rice,

maize), including triticale (Adeola et al., 1994; Amadou et al., 2013; FAOSTAT, 2014). However, millet had higher level in metabolisable energy, crude fat and minerals content compared to triticale. The crude fat content of the millet observed in this study is lower than the report of Kaur et al. (2014), and Saleh et al. (2013) for millet or some others millet varieties. The protein content averaged 9.86% for millet and 12.13% for triticale (23.02% less protein than the triticale).

The protein values for millet reported in this study were found to be by 1.2-1.4% lower than that reported in other studies (Kalinova and Moudry, 2006; Berglund, 2007; Saleh et al., 2013; FAOSTAT, 2014).

Contrary to our study, Dipnarayan et al. (2016) reported higher protein content (12.5%) of millet.

Table 1. The chemical composition of the main ingredients used in the experimental diets

Nutrients	Cereal grain	
	Triticale	Millet cv. ‘Marius’
Dry matter (%)	88.90	87.19
Metabolisable energy (kcal/kg)	3004	3052
Crude protein (%)	12.13	9.86
Digestible protein (%)	9.46	7.40
Crude fat (%)	2.01	2.99
Crude fiber (%)	2.17	2.50
Minerals (%)	2.17	3.38
Calcium (%)	0.04	0.03
Total phosphorus (%)	0.94	0.28
Digestible phosphorus (%)	0.18	0.15
Lysine: Energy Ratio (g/1000 kcal)	1.36	0.75

Varietal differences of crude protein content in millet grain were described also in other reports and might be caused by genetic differences or variation in growing conditions (e.g. water, soils nutrients), including conditions during grain formation (Ravindran, 1991; Kalinova and Moudry, 2006).

Millet was lower in digestible protein (-18.71%) than triticale. The digestibility of protein in our study was nearly 5% less than the values (average, 71.3%) reported by Ravindran (1992) who investigated *in vitro* protein digestibility of six varieties of proso millet. Factors such as the presence of tannins, influence the protein digestibility of proso millet (Ravindran, 1992).

The fiber content of the millet used in our study was lower with 15.21% than triticale. Contrary to our results, Ravindran (1991), who tested six varieties of proso millet, observed that the fiber content ranged between 3.2-4.2%.

Regarding the mineral content, we noticed that millet contained a higher mineral amount (+55.8%) compared to triticale. Similar results regarding the mineral content between proso millet and other common cereal grains were also obtained by Dipnarayan et al. (2016) and Habiyaemye et al. (2017). However we found that macrominerals, such as Ca and total P, were lower in millet cv. Marius compared to triticale. Ravindran (1991) obtained similar

values for Ca and P when analysed grain samples of six varieties of common millet. According to Adeola and Orban (1995), variations in chemical composition for cereals are expected, and are in close relationships with the genotype and environment (soil moisture content, level of nitrogen in the soil and the time of nitrogen fertilizer application).

Animal performance

All the animals used in the experiment remained healthy and consumed their diets. There was no mortality during the study. Table 2 shows the performance of the 7 day post-weaning piglets. Initial average BW of the experimental animals was 8.12 ± 1.08 kg.

Table 2. Effects of using millet grain on productive performance of weaning piglets

Items	C	M	SEM	<i>P-value*</i>
No. of pigs, animals/group	20	20	-	-
Weight at weaning, kg	8.14	8.15	0.201	0.984
Weight after 7 days, kg	9.69	9.55	0.280	0.817
ADG, g/day	0.222	0.200	0.180	0.609
ADFI, kg/day	0.254	0.242		
g gain: g feed	0.87	0.83		

Replacement of triticale with millet grain in the piglets diet had no significant effect on the average BW or ADG compared to control diet, after 7 days experimental period; this revealed that the inclusion of millet grains, did not affect the palatability of the piglets diets. Furthermore, the digestive processes (e.g. absence of diarrhoea or other disorders) were not affected by dietary treatments (data not shown).

The majority of the previous studies have been conducted to investigate the effect of millet grain in poultry nutrition (Ferket, 2000; Oso et al., 2014) while the reports on pigs are fewer. Yilkal et al. (2018) by increasing dietary level of finger millet grain up to 75% in layer ration observed no negative impact on production performance. Body weight gains did not differ between the broilers fed the control diet and the diets containing 14 or 28% whole millet in a study reported by Cisse et al. (2016). Similar results regarding pigs performance were also obtained by Adeola et al. (1996), how used 25% pearl millet as replacement of corn for 28 days in nursery (10 kg), respectively growing (20 kg) pigs, and Lawrence et al. (1995) when 0, 25, 50, 75, or 100% of corn was replaced with pearl millet in young pigs diets.

Plasma biochemical parameters

The results of plasma biochemical parameters are summarized in Table 3. At 7-days after weaning, the concentration of TG, T-Chol and HDL-C of pigs from the M group, were lower ($P>0.05$) than the C group. Moreover, the

values of these important markers of lipid metabolism were within the normal range. The lack of significant differences among control and experimental groups for TG value were also reported by Li et al. (2019), feeding undernourished pigs at age of 9 weeks with pure maize diet and millet-based supplementary food for 3 weeks. However, some studies (Nishizawa and Fudamoto, 1990; Nishizawa et al., 1995; Shimanuki et al., 2006) conducted on mice and rats fed proso millet protein concentrate diets, during 21 days experimental period, reported a beneficial effect of millet protein on lipid metabolism. We speculated that in our study no physiological improvement was observed in the piglets on lipid parameters due to the short-term feed intervention.

T-Pro, Alb and UA are major plasma protein fractions that can be used as indicators of protein synthesis (Hellwing et al., 2007). Cre and BUN are important endogenous marker, most commonly used to measure kidney function, while T-Bil is an indicative of liver function (Radostits et al., 2000). Compared with the C diet, the concentrations of T-Pro, Alb, UA, Cre, T-Bil and BUN, in M diet remained at a similar level ($P>0.05$). Contrary to our study, improved of T-Pro and BUN levels were reported by Li et al. (2019) on malnourished pigs fed with corn and proso millet diet during 3 weeks.

In the present study, significant changes in serum AST, ALT, LDH and CK activities, as markers of liver function (Nishizawa et al.,

2002) and cardiovascular system (Radostits et al., 2000), were not observed between the dietary groups of C and M.

Table 3. Effects of using millet grain on plasma profile of weaning pigs

Plasma profile	Parameter	Limits	C	M	SEM	<i>P</i> -value
Lipid	TG, mg.dL ⁻¹	33-50 ¹	49.50	42.13	0.55	0.298
	T-Chol, mg.dL ⁻¹	67-367 ²	78.75	68.75	3.28	0.159
	HDL-C, mg.dL ⁻¹	-	32.50	27.50	2.15	0.294
Protein	T-Pro, g.dL ⁻¹	5.8-8.3 ¹	4.85	4.79	0.14	0.840
	Alb, g.dL ⁻¹	2.3-4.0 ¹	2.88	2.69	0.13	0.523
	UA, mg.dL ⁻¹	-	1.00	1.00	-	-
	Cre, mg.dL ⁻¹	0.8-2.3 ¹	1.28	1.26	0.04	0.884
	T-Bil, mg.dL ⁻¹	0-0.5 ¹	0.30	0.34	0.04	0.688
Mineral	BUN, mg.dL ⁻¹	8.2-25 ¹	13.75	12.06	0.89	0.397
	Ca, mg.dL ⁻¹	6.8-14.8 ²	14.05	12.46	0.55	0.190
	Mg, mg.dL ⁻¹	2-3.5 ¹	2.30	2.18	0.07	0.422
	IP, mg.dL ⁻¹	5.5-9.3 ¹	6.58 ^a	5.70 ^b	0.21	0.042 ^a
Enzyme	AST, U/L	18-84 ²	44.50	50.81	8.65	0.748
	ALT, U/L	31-75 ¹	35.25	35.50	3.02	0.969
	LDH UI/L	380-630 ³	634.50	502.50	84.01	0.095 ^T
	CK UI/L	146-870 ²	440.75	346.13	218.61	0.849

Triglycerides, TG; total cholesterol, T-Chol; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HDL-C; total protein, T-Pro; albumin, Alb; uric acid, UA; creatinine, Cre; total bilirubin, T-Bil; urea nitrogen, BUN; calcium, Ca; magnesium, Mg; inorganic phosphorus, IP; aspartate aminotransferase, AST; alanine aminotransferase, ALT; lactate dehydrogenase, LDH; creatine kinase, CK. ¹Merck Veterinary Manual 2010; ²Perri et al., 2017; ³Radostits et al., 2000. Means within rows do not differ significantly (P>0.05). T = Tendence to be influenced by treatment.

However, the M group as compared to C group, tended to have lower plasma LDH activities (-26%, P = 0.095).

Significantly suppressed elevation of serum LDH activities was also noticed by Nishizawa et al. (2002) by feeding the diet containing 20% protein of proso millet for 14 days as compared with those of rats fed a 20% casein diet. Thus, the author concluded that the intake of millet was considered to be a preventive food for liver injury induced by D-galactosamine.

The plasma Ca and Mg concentrations were not significantly affected by the millet diet. Regarding the plasma IP we noticed that pigs fed millet diet registered lower values (-15.43%, P = 0.042) compared to control diet. The values obtained were in physiological limits. Thus, reduction the IP plasma content in our study was surprising since previous reports (Lei et al., 1993; Murry et al., 1997) have demonstrated an increase in serum IP concentration when millet and different phytase levels were added to the pigs diets, and in our study it was expected that the plasma IP level would either remain constant or increase. However, in millet, information is limited on the different types of compound that inhibited mineral absorption (Krishnan and Meera,

2018). The above workers also reported that the phytic acid, polyphenols and fibres present in the millet varieties is a nutritional concern, due to the possible interference with mineral absorption at the intestinal level (Ravindran, 1991).

CONCLUSIONS

The data of the present study shows that 25% of millet 'Marius' could be added to the piglets diet without causing significant changes in the performances and investigated blood parameters, except for the decreasing the plasma inorganic phosphorus levels. However, it might be an effect of millet compound, but further investigations are needed to confirm this.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by projects, ADER 611 and Romanian Ministry of Education and Research through Subprogram 1.2. Institutional Performance, Program 1. Developing National R&D, National Research and Development and Innovation Contract No. 17 PFE/ 17.10.2018

REFERENCES

- Adeola, O. Rogler, J. C., Sullivan, T. W. (1994). Pearl millet in diets of White Pekin ducks. *Poultry Science*, 73(3), 425-435.
- Adeola, O., Orban J. I. (1995). Chemical composition and nutrient digestibility of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) fed to growing pigs. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 22, 177-184.
- Adeola, O. King, D., Lawrence, B.V. (1996). Evaluation of pearl millet for swine and ducks. J. Janick (ed.), *Progress in new crops*. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA. 177-182, from <https://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/proceedings1996/V3-177.html>.
- Amadou, I. Gounga, M. E, Le, G. W. (2013). Millets: nutritional composition, some health benefits and processing-A review. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 25, 501-508.
- Berglund, D. R. (2007). Proso millet in North Dakota. http://mccc.msu.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2016/11/ND_2007_Proso-Millet-in-North-Dakota.pdf.
- Campbell, J. M., Crenshaw, J. D., Polo, J. (2013). The biological stress of early weaned piglets. *Journal Animal Science Biotechnology*, 13(4), 19-22.
- Changmei, S., Dorothy, J. (2014). Millet-the frugal grain. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Reviews*, 3(4), 75-90.
- Colson, V., Martin, E., Orgeur, P., Prunier, A. (2015). Influence of housing and social changes on growth, behaviour and cortisol in piglets at weaning. *Physiology & Behavior*, 107(1), 59-64.
- Davis, A. J., Dale, N. M., Ferreira, F. J. (2003). Pearl millet as an alternative feed ingredient in broiler diets. *The Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 12(2), 137-144.
- Devi, P. B., Rajendran, V., Sathyaseelan, S., Nagappa, G. M., Venkatesan, B. P. (2014). Health benefits of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.) polyphenols and dietary fiber: a review. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 51(6), 1021-1040.
- Directive (EU) No. 63 (2010). Official Journal of the European Union, L 276, 33-79.
- Dipnarayan, S. M. V., Channabyre, G., Lalit, A., Manjusha, V., Kailash, C. B. (2016). Genetic and genomic resources of small millets. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 35(1), 56-79.
- FAOSTAT (2014). <http://faostat3.fao.org/browse/Q/QC/E>.
- Ferket, P. (2000). Feeding whole grain to poultry improves gut health. *Feedstuff*, 72, 12-14.
- Garcia, A. R., Dale, N. M. (2006). Feeding of unground pearl millet to laying hens. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, 15, 574-578.
- Goron, T. L., Raizada, M. N. (2015). Genetic diversity and genomic resources available for the small millet crops to accelerate New Green Revolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6(157), 1-28.
- Habeanu, M., Lefter, N. A., Gheorghe, A., Tabuc, C., Untea, A., Surdu, I., Ciurescu, G., Balan, C.G., Dragomir, C. (2015). Changes in certain serum and faeces parameters in weaned piglets as a response to nutritional stress. *South African Society for Animal Science*, 45(2), 164-172.
- Hăbeanu, M., Lefter, N. A., Gheorghe, A., Untea, A., Ropotă, M., Grigore, D. M., Varzaru, I., Toma, S. M. (2019). Evaluation of performance, nitrogen metabolism and tissue composition in barrows fed an n-3 PUFA-rich diet. *Animals*, 13, 9(5). pii: E234, 1-25.
- Habiyaremye, C., Matanguihan, J. B., D'Alpoim Guedes, J., Ganjyal, G. M., Whiteman, M. R., Kidwell, K. K., Murphy, K. M. (2017). Proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) and its potential for cultivation in the Pacific Northwest, U.S.: A Review. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7(1961), 1-17.
- Hellwing, A., Louise, F., Tauson, A.H., Skrede, A. (2007). Blood parameters in growing pigs fed increasing levels of bacterial protein meal. Brief communication. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 49(33), 1-4.
- Yilkal, T., Tegene, N., Negassi, A., Yadav, K. R. (2018). Effect of dietary replacement of maize with finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) grain on production performance and egg quality of White Leghorn hens. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 17(1), 40-50.
- Jones, J. M., Engleson, J. (2010). Whole grains: benefits and challenges. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, 1, 19-40.
- Kalinova, J., Moudry, J. (2006). Content and quality of protein in proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) varieties. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 61(1), 45-49.
- Kaur, K. D., Jha, A., Sabikhi, L., Singh, A. K. (2014). Significance of coarse cereals in health and nutrition: a review. *Journal of Food Science Technology*, 51(8), 1429-1441.
- Krishnan, R., Meera, M. S. (2018). Pearl millet minerals: effect of processing on bioaccessibility. *Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 55(9), 3362-3372.
- Lawrence, B. V., Adeola, O., Rogler, J. C. (1995). Nutrient digestibility and growth performance of pigs fed pearl millet as a replacement for corn. *Journal of Animal Science*, 73, 2026-2032.
- Lei, X. G., Ku, P. K., Miller, E. R., Yokoyama, M. T., Ullrey, D. E. (1993). Supplementing corn-soybean meal diets with microbial phytase maximizes phytate phosphorus utilization by weanling pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 71(12), 3368-3375.
- Li, X., Hui, Y., Ren, J., Song, Y., Liu, S., Che, L., Peng, X., Dai, X. (2019). Millet-based supplement restored microbiota diversity of acute undernourished pigs. *bioRxiv*, The Preprint Server for Biology, 1-26.
- Luo, L., Wei, Z., Qi, G., Wenli, L., Jing, Z., Weina, X., Jianxiong, X. (2016). Weaning induced hepatic oxidative stress, apoptosis, and aminotransferases through mapk signaling pathways in piglets. *Oxidative Medicine & Cellular Longevity*, ID 4768541, 1-10.
- Marin, M., Hodoșan, C., Diniță, G., Nicolae, C.G. (2017). The influence of the chemical composition of maize, barley and peas hybrids on the digestibility of compound feed for pigs. *Agrolife Scientific Journal*, 6(2), 127-132.

- Mavromichalis, I. (2014). Introduction to piglet nutrition: Back to the basics!
<https://www.pigprogress.net/Special-Focus/Piglet-Feeding/Introduction-to-piglet-nutrition-Back-to-the-basics>.
- Merck & Co. (2010). The Merck Veterinary Manual, 10th ed., Merck Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.
- Murry, A. C., Lewis, R. D., Amos, H. E. (1997). The effect of microbial phytase in a pearl millet-soybean meal diet on apparent digestibility and retention of nutrients, serum mineral concentration, and bone mineral density of nursery pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 75, 1284–1291.
- Nishizawa, N. Oikawa, M., Hareyama, S. (1990). Effect of dietary protein from proso millet on the plasma cholesterol metabolism in rats. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 54, 229–230.
- Nishizawa, N., Fudamoto, Y. (1995). The elevation of plasma concentration of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol in mice fed with protein from proso millet. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 59(2), 333–335.
- Nishizawa, N. Sato, D. Ito, Y. Nagasawa, T. Hatakeyama, Y. Choi, M.R. Choi, Y.Y., Wei, Y. M. (2002). Effects of dietary protein of proso millet on liver injury induced by D-galactosamine in rats. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 66(1), 92–96.
- Oso, A. O. Williams, G. A., Jegede, A. V., Sobayo, R. A., Idowu, O. M. O., Fafiolu, A. O., Sogunle, O. M., Akinola, O. S., Adeleye, O. O., Olorunsola, I. A. R., Ogunade, I. M., Osho, S. O., Obadire, F.O., Bamgbose, A. M. (2014). Effect of combination of whole millet feeding and mannan oligosaccharides supplementation on growth performance, serum biochemistry and relative organ weights of growing guinea fowl (*Numidia meleagris*). *Livestock Science*, 159, 46–52.
- Perri, A. M., O'Sullivan, T. L., Harding, J. C. S., Wood, R. D., Friendship, R. M. (2017). Hematology and biochemistry reference intervals for Ontario commercial nursing pigs close to the time of weaning. *Canadian Veterinary Journal*, 58(4), 371–376.
- Popp, J., Harangi-Rákos, M., Gabnai, Z., Balogh, P., Antal, G., Bai, A. (2016). Review. Biofuels and their co-products as livestock feed: global economic and environmental implications. *Molecules*, 21(285), 1–26.
- Radostits, O., Gay, C., Hinchcliff, K., Constable, P. (2000). A textbook of the diseases of cattle, horses, sheep, pigs and goats. Veterinary medicine, 9th Ed. Saunders, London, 1819–1822.
- Ravindran, G. (1991). Studies on millets: Proximate composition, mineral composition and phytate and oxalate contents. *Food Chemistry*, 39(1), 99–107.
- Ravindran, G. (1992). Seed protein of millets: amino acid composition, proteinase inhibitors and *in-vitro* protein digestibility. *Food Chemistry*, 44(1), 13–17.
- Saleh, A. S. M., Qing, Z., Jing, C., Qun, S. (2013). Millet grains: nutritional quality, processing, and potential health benefits. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 12, 280–295.
- Shimanuki, S., Nagasawa, T., Nishizawa, N. (2006). Plasma HDL subfraction levels increase in rats fed proso-millet protein concentrate. *Medical Science Monitor*, 12(7), BR 221-6. E pub 2006 Jun 28.
- Singh, S., Chauhan, E. S. (2019). Role of underutilized millets and their nutraceuticals importance in the new era. A review. *International Journal of Scientific Research & Reviews*, 8(2), 2844–2857.
- SPSS (2011). Statistics v. 20.0., IBM, SPSS, Inc., USA.
- van Beers-Schreurs, H. M., Nabuurs, M. J., Vellenga, L. Kalsbeek-van der Valk, H. J. Wensing, T. Breukink, H. J. (1998). Weaning and the weanling diet influence the villous height and crypt depth in the small intestine of pigs and alter the concentrations of short-chain fatty acids in the large intestine and blood. *Journal of Nutrition*, 128, 947–953.
- Yenagi, N., Joshi, R., Byadgi, S., Josna, B. (2013). A hand book for school children: Importance of millets in daily diets for food and nutrition security. University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India. 1-24.