

RESEARCH OF MORPHOPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF THE MEAT GOAT POPULATION COMPARED TO CARPATINA GOAT BREED

Corneliu-Ion NEACSU, Alexandru-Gabriel VARTIC*, Oana-Corina DORDESCU,
Petru-Gabriel VICOVAN, Camelia-Zoia ZAMFIR

Research and Development Institute for Sheep and Goat Breeding Palas, 248 I. C. Bratianu Blvd,
Constanta, Romania

*Corresponding author email: gabriel.alexandru88@gmail.com

Abstract

The ever-increasing requirements for goat meat production have led to create and consolidate a specialized goat population for meat production, well adapted to local environmental conditions, within the Research and Development Institute for Sheep and Goat Breeding - Palas. The new R1 population (75% Boer and 25% Carpatina) showed superior attributes compared to Carpatina breed. During the period of intensive fattening of the kids, the R1 males achieved an average daily gain of 152 grams compared to the group of males from the Carpatina breed, in which the increase was 119 grams/day. The R1 hybrids had 2.75 percentage points more muscle tissue in the carcass and 3.11 percentage points less bones than the Carpatina kids. The adult goats in the newly created population had a body compactness index with values between 84.26 and 94.31 and the muscularity index of the gigot had values of 245.58 - 249.01. In regards to our research was observed the superiority of R1 Boer x Carpatina goats compared to the Carpatina breed regarding to the meat production and the quality of carcass.

Key words: carcass, goat, meat, Romania, yield.

INTRODUCTION

The orientation and development of goat breeding in the direction of meat production is determined by the market demand for lean meat, while there are trends to implement a diet, limited in fats and especially in saturated lipids. In recent years there has been an increased interest for goat meat, which provides high biological value proteins and healthy fats due to a high ratio of unsaturated and saturated fatty acids to a low in cholesterol contents (Van Niekerk et al., 1988). It was found that this meat has a lower fat content by 50-65% compared to beef, by 42-59% compared to lamb and 25% lower compared to veal; also, the quality of the fat is better, respectively the content in saturated fatty acids is lower by 40% compared to chicken meat (without skin) and comparisons made with beef, pork or lamb meat emphasize that it has 85%, 100% and 90% less saturated fatty acids (Colomer-Rocher et al., 1987).

The objective of the research consisted in creating and consolidating a meat goat population well adapted to the environmental conditions in Romania, through crossing Boer and Carpatina breed, obtaining R1 goat

population (75% Boer x 25% Carpatina) and selecting in the direction of increasing and improving meat production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research was carried out on the new meat goat population and on the kids obtained at Research and Development Institute for Sheep and Goat Breeding Palas.

The main body dimensions were determined using the zoometer, compass and ribbon, calculating two conformation indices for goats and kids in the new population (Colomer-Rocher et al., 1987).

Breeding indices in mother goats (fertility, prolificacy, birth rate and survival of the kids until weaning) were determined compared to the values recorded by the goats Boer from South Africa, taken from the literature (Amoah et al., 1996; Crepaldi et al., 1999; Galina et al., 1995; Mellado et al., 2000).

Feed consumption was determined for weight gain in goats (subjected to intensive fattening for 120 days) R1 Boer x Carpatina compared to Carpatina contemporaries, in which the growth performances were tested determining the

weight increase, the nutrient consumption and the quality of the resulting carcasses.

The combined feed used had an energy content of 2570 kcal / kg, 16% raw protein, 3.50% raw fat and 8.50% raw cellulose.

At the end of the fattening period, the control slaughters were performed (3 animals from each batch), the slaughter yield was calculated (yield 1 and yield 2), and the carcasses were assessed. The yield at slaughter and the existing differences (with statistical significance) between the R₁ Boer x Carpatina and Carpatina kids were determined, subsequently determining the section areas of the muscle *Longissimus dorsi* and the thigh section in the middle of the femur, perpendicular to its longitudinal axis. Section areas were determined using the autoCAD program (Van Niekerk et al., 1988).

Carcass conformation indices, genotype differences and statistical significance were determined (Pascal, 2015; Taftă, 1996).

The slaughter yield was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Yield 1} = \frac{\text{Weight of cooled carcass (kg)}}{\text{Living weight (kg)}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Yield 2} = \frac{\text{Weight of cooled carcass (kg)}}{\text{Empty living weight (kg)}} \times 100$$

* Empty living weight = live weight from which the contents of the digestive tract have been subtracted.

Each carcass was cooled for 24 hours at +2 - +4°C, after weighing, it was sectioned into 2 half carcasses. All determinations were made on the right half of the carcass, the division into different commercial regions was made according to the French cutting system.

The gigot was separated from the carcass by sectioning between the sacrum joint and the 6th lumbar vertebra (L6). The shoulder blade was detached from the thoracic muscle insertion.

The rest of the carcass was represented by: neck, with the bone base of the 7 vertebrae; thorax, with the bone base of the 13 ribs and the sternum; lumbar area, with the bone base of the 6 lumbar vertebrae, all dressed in the afferent muscles, in the carcasses was also included abdominal muscles.

After cutting the carcasses into the 3 pieces (gigot, shoulder blade, the rest of the carcass),

each piece was dissected, separating the muscles, fat (covering - ribs and intermuscular) and bones. Each tissue was then weighed to the nearest ± 5 grams.

The caliper measured the large diameter and small diameter of the *Longissimus dorsi muscle*, as well as the thickness of the surface fat layer, calculating conformation indices (Compactness Index of the Gigot - C.I.G.***, Muscularity Index of the Gigot - M.I.G.****) and differences between genotypes with statistical significance (Lu et al., 1988; Rău, 1989; Sodiq et al., 2004).

$$*** \text{ C.I.G.} = \frac{\text{Width of coxofemural joints}}{\text{Length of gigot}} \times 100$$

$$**** \text{ M.I.G.} = \frac{\text{Perimeter of gigot}}{\text{Length of gigot}} \times 100$$

The tissue structure of carcasses was established in R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids compared to Carpatina kids and differences between genotypes, with statistical significance (Fisher Test).

Statistical data processing was performed by classical methods (Sandu, 1995).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The main dimensions of goats were: a body length of 85.17 cm, the height at the withers and at the croup was 79.42 cm, the width at the shoulders 26.17 cm, the hip joint width 25.5 cm, rib width was 29.92 cm, chest depth 40.25 cm, the chest girth was 104.58 cm, the perimeter of the whistle was 12.25 cm, the perimeter of the hind leg was 73.42 cm and the length of the hind leg had an average value of 30.0 cm.

The goats had a trunk length of 70.67 cm, the height at the withers was equal to the height at the croup with a value of 68.67 cm, the width at the shoulders was 21.75 cm and at the hip joints it had an average value of 23.44 cm; the width at the ribs was 28.97 cm, the depth of the chest had an average value of 29.89 cm, the chest girth was 95.28 cm, the perimeter of the whistle was 10.69 cm, the perimeter of the hind leg was 61.33 cm and the length of the hind leg had an average value of 25.22 cm (Table 1).

Table 1. The main body dimensions of goats R₁ Boer x Carpatina by sex (cm)

| No. | Category | Trunk length | Withers height | Croup height | Shoulder width | Hip joint width | Rib width | Chest depth | Chest girth | Whistle perimeter | Hind leg perimeter | Hind leg length |
|-----|----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ |
| 1. | Bucks | 85.17 ± 0.6945 | 79.42 ± 0.6793 | 79.42 ± 0.6793 | 26.17 ± 0.4410 | 25.50 ± 0.4523 | 29.92 ± 0.7732 | 40.25 ± 0.2500 | 104.58 ± 0.4840 | 12.25 ± 0.1306 | 73.42 ± 0.8390 | 30.0 ± 0.5222 |
| 2. | Goats | 70.67 ± 0.5941 | 68.67 ± 0.6812 | 68.67 ± 0.6812 | 21.75 ± 0.4358 | 23.44 ± 0.3636 | 28.97 ± 0.5920 | 29.89 ± 0.3223 | 95.28 ± 1.3499 | 10.69 ± 0.2463 | 61.33 ± 1.3431 | 25.22 ± 0.6981 |

In goats, the compactness index of the gigot had the value of 84.26 and the muscularity index of the gigot was 245.58, the value being lower than goats by 10.65% in the first index and by 1.38% in the second index (Table 2).

The number of goats R₁ Boer x Carpatina at breeding was 102 heads, of which they were mated 99 and gave birth 98, obtaining 152 kids, from which 135 were weaned (88.81%) resulting 1.38 kids weaned/goat (Table 3).

Table 2. Conformity indices on live animal in goats R₁ Boer x Carpatina

| No. | Category | Compactness index of the gigot (C.I.G.) | The muscularity index of the gigot (M.I.G.) | ± Differences between goats and goats | | | |
|-----|----------|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | C.I.G. | | M.I.G. | |
| | | | | MU | (%) | MU | (%) |
| 1. | Bucks | 84.26 ± 2.0343 | 245.58 ± 5.2409 | | | | |
| 2. | Goats | 94.31 ± 3.1712 | 249.01 ± 1.0019 | - 10.05 | - 10.65 | - 3.43 | - 1.38 |

Table 3. The result of mating in goats R₁ Boer x Carpatina, 2021 season

| No. | The goat stud (head) | Goats mounted (head) | Giving birth goats (head) | Born kids (head) | | | | Weaned kids | | |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------|----------------------|
| | | | | Total | Alive | Dead | Aborted | Total | % | On giving birth goat |
| 1. | 102 | 99 | 98 | 152 | 135 | 16 | 1 | 135 | 88.81 | 1.38 |

In the giving birth 2021 season, the R₁ Boer x Carpatina goats had a fecundity of 98.99%, a prolificacy of 155.10% and a birth rate of 137.76% (Table 4).

The weight of the male R₁ kids (75% Boer, 25% Carpatina) at birth was 2.87 ± 0.1677 kg and of

the kids 2.51 ± 0.0900 kg. At the time of weaning, the weight of the kids of both sexes was similar.

The average daily gain made by male kids during this period was 125.9 g, 7.9% higher than the female kids - 116.60 (Table 5).

Table 4. Breeding indices in goats R₁ Boer x Carpatina in giving birth 2021 season

| No. | Fertility (%) | Prolificacy (%) | Birth rate (%) |
|-----|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 1. | 98.99 | 155.10 | 137.76 |

Table 5. Body weight dynamics in kids from birth to weaning

| No. | Sex | Body weight (kg/head) | | Age at weaning (days) | Average daily gain (g/head) |
|-----|---------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | At birth | At weaning | | |
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ |
| 1. | Males | 2.87 ± 0.1967 | 16.91 ± 1.1843 | 113.80 ± 0.9638 | 125.90 ± 11.9819 |
| 2. | Females | 2.51 ± 0.0900 | 16.14 ± 0.9599 | 116.40 ± 0.8327 | 116.60 ± 9.1119 |

In R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids, the average daily weight gain was 152 g compared to 119 g in the

Carpatina, the difference of approximately 28% being statistically significant.

It is also observed that the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids consumed to achieve 1 kg weight gain: 18095 Kcal, 1053 g digestible protein (DP) and 5790 g dry matter (DM) compared to contemporary Carpatina, who consumed 24138

Kcal, 1430.4 g DP and 7867.2 g DM, the differences between genotypes being 25%, 26.38% and 6.40% higher in the Carpatina than the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids, being very statistically significant (Table 6).

Table 6. Consumption per kg gain in R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids compared to Carpatina

| No. | Genotype | Average daily gain (g/head) $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | Consumption per kg gain | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Metabolizable energy (Kcal) | Digestible protein (g) | Dry matter (g) |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 152 ± 6.52 | 18095 | 1053 | 5790 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 119 ± 6.05 | 24138 | 1430.4 | 7867.2 |

R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids had a slaughter yield of Y₁ with a value of 50.46% compared to 44.48% in the Carpatina and the Y₂ yield was for

Boer x Carpatina 57.67% compared to 51.38% in the Carpatina (Table 7).

Table 7. Slaughter yield in R₁ Boer x Carpatina goats compared to the Carpatina

| No. | Genotype | Body weight (kg/head) | Cooled carcass weight (kg/head) | Empty lived weight carcass (kg/head) | Yield at slaughter | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | Y ₁ $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | Y ₂ $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 39.40 ± 2.7221 | 18.19 ± 0.4130 | 34.88 ± 2.9127 | 50.46 ± 1.3262 | 57.67 ± 1.1159 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 34.67 ± 2.4340 | 15.49 ± 1.7555 | 30.07 ± 2.8085 | 44.48 ± 2.8787 | 51.38 ± 2.1068 |

It should be remarked that in terms of Y₁, the difference between R₁ Boer x Carpatina and Carpatina breed was 5.98 percentage points and in Y₂ the difference between R₁ Boer x Carpatina

and Carpatina breed was 6.29 percentage points, both differences being very statistically significant (Table 8).

Table 8. Differentiation of slaughter yield and significance of differences

| No. | Genotype | Yield | | ± percentage points between R ₁ Boer and Carpatina breed | | The meaning | |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Y ₁ | Y ₂ | Y ₁ | Y ₂ | Y ₁ | Y ₂ |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 50.46 | 57.67 | + 5.98 | + 6.29 | P <0.001 Very significant | P <0.001 Very significant |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 44.48 | 51.38 | | | | |

In the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids, the area of the *Longissimus dorsi* muscle section was 12.06 cm² compared to 8.38 cm² in the Carpatina, the

difference of 3.68 cm² (44.0%) being very statistically significant (Table 9).

Table 9. Area of the *Longissimus dorsi* muscle section in goats in the meat goat population compared to Carpatina contemporaries

| No. | Genotype | The area of <i>Longissimus dorsi</i> muscle section (cm ²) | ± Differences between R ₁ Boer and Carpatina breed | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---------|------------------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | cm ² | % | The meaning |
| 1. | Meat goat population (R ₁ Boer x Carpatina) | 12.06 ± 0.7419 | + 3.68 | + 44.00 | P <0.001 Very significant |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 8.38 ± 1.0366 | | | |

The section area of thigh in the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids had an average value of 103.95 cm² compared to 88.11 cm² in the Carpatina

breed, the difference of 15.84 cm² (7.98%) in favor of the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids, being very statistically significant (Table 10).

Table 10. Thigh section area (half of the femur perpendicular to its axis) in the new goat population compared to the Carpatina breed

| No. | Genotype | Thigh section area (cm ²) | ± Differences between R ₁ Boer and Carpatina | | |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|---|--------|------------------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | cm ² | % | The meaning |
| 1. | Population R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 103.95 ± 5.5643 | +15.84 | +17.98 | P <0.001 Very significant |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 88.11 ± 1.4580 | | | |

Research has shown that in kids R₁ Boer x Carpatina, compactness index of the gigot (C.I.G.) had an average value of 85.47 compared to 53.60 in the Carpatina contemporaries and the muscularity index of the gigot (M.I.G.) had a value of 211.97 in the R₁ Boer x Carpatina and

131.16 in the Carpatina, the differences between R₁ Boer and Carpatina kids of 31.87 MU in the first index and 80.81 MU in the second being very statistically significant (P <0.001) - according to Table 11.

Table 11. Live animal conformation indices in R₁ Boer x Carpatina males compared to Carpatina contemporaries

| No. | Genotype | Compactness index of the gigot (C.I.G.) * | The muscularity index of the gigot (M.I.G.) ** | ± Differences between genotypes and significance | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | C.I.G. (MU) | M.I.G. (MU) |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 85.47 ± 1.5408 | 211.97 ± 6.0285 | + 31.87 P <0.001 | + 80.81 P <0.001 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 56.60 ± 6.1748 | 131.16 ± 4.3824 | | |

The compactness index of the gigot (C.I.G.) for R₁ Boer x Carpatina male kids had an average value of 83.43 compared to 50.07 for Carpatina male kids and the gigot muscularity index (M.I.G.) had a value of 201.791 for R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids and 106.16 in the Carpatina

breed. The differences between the R₁ Boer x Carpatina and Carpatina breed genotypes of 33.36 MU in the first index and 95.63 MU in the second, being very statistically significant (Table 12).

Table 12. Male kids carcass conformation indices R₁ Boer x Carpatina compared to Carpatina contemporaries

| No. | Genotype | Compactness index of the gigot (C.I.G.) | The muscularity index of the gigot (M.I.G.) | ± Differences between genotypes and significance | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------|
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | C.I.G. (MU) | M.I.G. (MU) |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 83.43 ± 2.0009 | 201.79 ± 1.1134 | 33.36 P <0.001 | 95.63 P <0.001 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 50.07 ± 3.66668 | 106.16 ± 6.6836 | | |

In the kids group of R₁ Boer x Carpatina, the half-carcass weighed 8.87 kg of which 5.57 kg muscle, 2.15 kg bone and 1.15 kg fat, while in

the Carpatina kids carcass weighed an average of 7.46 kg of which 4.48 kg muscle, 2.04 kg bones and 0.94 kg fat (Table 13).

Table 13. The tissue structure of the carcass in according to genotype

| No. | Genotype | Carcass weight (kg) of which: | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Total | Muscle | Bones | Fat |
| | | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ | $\bar{x} \pm s_x$ |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 8.87 ± 0.1660 | 5.57 ± 0.2142 | 2.15 ± 0.0115 | 1.15 ± 0.0573 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 7.46 ± 0.7449 | 4.48 ± 0.5179 | 2.04 ± 0.1884 | 0.94 ± 0.0755 |

R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids have 2.75 percentage points more muscle in the carcass and 3.11 percentage points less bones compared to

Carpatina kids, the differences being statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (Table 14).

Table 14. Percentage tissue structure in carcasses

| No. | Genotype | Carcass weight of which (%) | | | | ± Differences between genotypes (percentage points) | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------|---|----------|----------|
| | | Total | Muscle | Bones | Fat | Muscle | Bones | Fat |
| 1. | R ₁ Boer x Carpatina | 100.0 | 62.80 | 24.23 | 12.97 | + 2.75 | - 3.11 | + 0.37 |
| 2. | Carpatina breed | 100.0 | 60.05 | 27.34 | 12.60 | P < 0.05 | P < 0.05 | P < 0.05 |

CONCLUSIONS

From research regarding the morpho-productive performances of the R₁ goat population (75% Boer x 25% Carpatina) compared to the Carpatina breed, the following conclusions can be drawn:

The main body dimensions performed on the live animal showed higher values for bucks by 3.23 - 34.66% compared to goats.

Compactness index of the gigot and the muscularity index of the gigot had values of 84.26-94.31, respectively of 245.58-249.01, being lower for bucks by 10.65% and respectively by 1.38% compared to the goats.

Breeding index for R₁ goats had the following values: fecundity 98.99%, prolificacy 155.10%, birth rate 137.76%.

The average daily gain made by kids during the lactation period was 116.60-125.90 g, being 7.9% higher in males compared to females.

During the fattening period (120 days), the R₁ Boer x Carpatina kids made an average daily gain of 152 g, being by 28% higher compared to the Carpatina kids, in which the increase achieved was 119 g/day.

For one kg of live weight gain, the R₁ kids achieved a lower specific consumption by 25% Kcal, 26.38% DP and by 26% DS compared to the Carpatina contemporaries. Also, the efficiency of feed conversion in growth increase was higher by 15.2% in Boer x Carpatina.

The slaughter yield was 44.48 - 50.46 %, being 5.98 percentage points higher in the group of R₁ compared to the Carpatina goats, the differences being very significant ($P < 0.001$).

The area of the *Longissimus dorsi* muscle section was content between 8.38 cm² and 12.06 cm², being 44% higher in the R₁ kids (75% Boer x 25% Carpatina).

The area of the thigh section was 103.95 cm² for the group of R₁ and 88.11 cm² for the Carpatina breed, being larger for cross breeds by about 17.98%.

The conformation indices on the live animal, respectively the compactness index of the gigot and the muscularity index of the gigot, as well as the conformation indices of the carcass (the compactness and muscular indices of the gigot) presented superior values to the batch of R₁ compared to the group of Carpatina goats, the differences being very significant ($P < 0.001$).

The tissue composition of the carcass was defined by the following relative values: muscles 60.05 - 62.80%, bones 24.23 - 27.34%, fat 12.60 - 12.97%, R₁ Boer x Carpatina products with 2.75 percentage points more muscle tissue in the carcass and 3.11 percentage points less bone compared to Carpatina kids, the differences being significant ($P < 0.05$).

The data obtained reveal the superiority of the population of R₁ 75% Boer x 25% Carpatina compared to the Carpatina breed in all morpho-

productive traits, reproduction indices, slaughter yield and carcass quality indices.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research activity was carried out with the support of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and funded by the ADER 813 Project from 01.10.2019, project which is in progress.

REFERENCES

- Amoah, E. A., Gelaye, S., Guthrie, P. Rexroad, C.E. Jr. (1996). Breeding season and aspects of reproduction of female goats, *Journal of Animal Science*, 74(4), 723-728.
- Crepaldi, P., Corti, M., Cicogna, M. (1999). Factors affecting milk production and prolificacy of Alpine goats in Lombardy (Italy). *Small Ruminant Research*, 32(1), 83-88.
- Colomer-Rocher, F., Morand-Fehr, P., Kirton, A. H. (1987). Standard methods and procedures for goat carcass evaluation, jointing and tissue separation. *Livestock Production Science*, 17, 149-159.
- Galina, M. A., Silva, E., Morales, R., Lopez, B. (1995). Reproductive performance of Mexican dairy goats under various management systems. *Small Ruminant Research*, 18(3), 249-253.
- Lu, C. D., Potchoiba, M. J. (1988). Milk feeding and weaning of goat kids - A review. *Small Ruminant Research*, 1(2), 105-112.
- Mellado, M., Amaro, J. L., García, J. E., Lara, L. M. (2000). Factors Affecting Gestation Length in Goats and the Effect of Gestation Period on Kid Survival. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 135(1), 85-89.
- Pascal, C. (2015). *Treaty for the Breeding of Sheep and Goats*. Iasi, RO: Ion Ionescu from Brad Publishing House.
- Rău, V. (1989) - Research on establishing the main morpho-productive properties in a goat population in Mureş County. *Scientific Papers of ICPCOC Palas - Constanța*, VI, Bucharest.
- Sandu, G. (1995). *Experimental models in animal science*. Bucharest, RO: Coral Sanivet Publishing House.
- Sodiq, A., Tawfik, E. S. (2004). Productivity and Breeding Strategies of Sheep in Indonesia: A Review. *Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in the Tropics and Subtropics*, 105(1), 71-82.
- Taftă, V. (1996). *Goat Production and Reproduction*. Bucharest, RO: Ceres Publishing House.
- Van Niekerk, W. A., Casey, N. H. (1988). The Boer goat. II. Growth, nutrient requirements, carcass and meat quality, *Small Ruminant Research*, 1(4), 355-368.